





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/004,510                              | 11/02/2001             | John J. McKillip     | 72412                   | 6502             |
| 22242                                   | 7590 07/25/2002        |                      |                         |                  |
|   | N TABIN AND FLA        | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET<br>SUITE 1600 |                        |                      | EICKHOLT, EUGENE H      |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL                             | CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                        |                      | 2854                    | 7                |
|   |                        |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002 | : <b>"</b>       |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | s   |  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| ٠ .  | <i>i</i>  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| •  |   | 10/004,510   | MCKILLIP, JOHN J.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Eugene H Eickholt  | 2854  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| THE - Extra after - If the - If N - Fail - Any   | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of time for SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thitory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOIII, by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | d on <u>02 November 2001</u> .   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | This action is FINAL. 2b  | o) This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims                    |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7)   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | tion Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | an farainn maisaith condan 25 II C.C.  | \$ 440(a) (d) as (6)  |  |  |  |
| -  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  | or foreign priority under 35 0.5.C.  | 9 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |
| а  | ) All b) Some * c) None of:   | announts have been received  |   |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| _  | <ul> <li>a)           The translation of the foreign lange Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li> </ul>   | uage provisional application has b   | peen received.  |  |  |  |
| ااردا<br>Attachme  | _   | domestic priority under 30 0.5.0   | . 33 120 and/or 121.  |  |  |  |
| 1)   | in(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap   | D-948) 5) 🔲 Notice of  | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510

Art Unit: 2854

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: at pages 5-6, lines 5 and 24, "continuous" is misspelled.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 3, "application" needs to be changed to "applicator" for proper antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chess et al (5656369).

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The adhesive patch station is a sub-component of the printing press which includes a roll receiver station 10, as well as print, patch and die stations. Claim as drafted is inaccurate in

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510

Art Unit: 2854

reciting the receiver station is part of the patch station. See the specification description at page 4, lines 16-30 and page 5, lines 12-25.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are, insofar as understandable, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chess et al in view of Weidner et al (5861457).

Chess et al identifies his web 11 as bond paper, but does at column 3, lines 29-30, state it be of other sheet material having desired characteristics, Weidner at column 11 identifies the backing paper for adhesive labels to be treated with silicone to enhance repellency (ease of peeling) to tacky substances as "glassive papers". See column 11, line 61. At the time of applicants invention have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the printing art, a complex art, to have substituted a glassive silicone treated paper of taught by Weidner et al for the bond paper web 11 of Chess et al. Motivation for such a substitution is clearly set forth in Weidner et al as rendering backing surfaces for adhesive layers repellant to tacky surfaces. See column 11, line 36 of Weidner et al.

A shortened statutory period of 3 months is set to respond.





Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to Examiner Eickholt, who can be reached Tuesday through Thursday. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the TC2800 receptionist.

Contact numbers:

Exr. Eugene Eickholt SPE Andrew Hirshfeld TC 2800 Receptionist TC 2800 Fax 703-308-0125 703-305-6619 703-308-0956 703-308-7722 703-305-3432 703-308-7724

> EUSZNEHLEICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER